


4 Data-Ink and Graphical Redesign

Data graphics should draw the viewer's attention to the sense and substance of the data, not to something else. The data graphical form should present the quantitative contents. Occasionally **artfulness of design** makes a **graphic** worthy of the Museum of Modern Art, but essentially statistical graphics are instruments to help people reason about quantitative information.

Playfair's very first charts devoted too much of their ink to graphical apparatus, with elaborate grid lines and detailed labels. This time-series, engraved in August 1785, is **from** the early pages of *The Commercial and Political Atlas*:

CHART of IMPORTS and EXPORTS of ENGLAND to and from all NORTH AMERICA From the Year 1770 to 1782 by W. Playfair




The Bottom Line is divided into Years the right-hand Line into HUNDRED THOUSAND POUNDS

J. Smith Sculp.

Published as the Act directs 20th Aug^r 1785.

Within a year Playfair had eliminated much of the nondata detail in favor of cleaner design that focused attention on the time-series itself. He then began working with a new engraver and was soon producing clear and elegant displays:

Exports and Imports to and from DENMARK & NORWAY from 1700 to 1780



The Bottom line is divided into Years, the Right hand line into 100,000 each.
 Published as the Act directs, 1st May 1786, by W^m. Playfair Neale sculpt 332, Strand, London.

This improvement in graphical design illustrates the fundamental principle of good statistical graphics:

Above all else show the data.


The principle is the basis for a theory of data graphics.

Data-Ink

A large share of ink on a graphic should present data-information, the ink changing as the data change. *Data-ink* is the non-erasable core of a graphic, the non-redundant ink arranged in response to variation in the numbers represented. Then,


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Data-ink ratio} &= \frac{\text{data-ink}}{\text{total ink used to print the graphic}} \\ &= \text{proportion of a graphic's ink devoted to the non-redundant display of data-information} \\ &= 1.0 - \text{proportion of a graphic that can be erased without loss of data-information.} \end{aligned}$$

A few graphics use every drop of their ink to convey measured quantities. Nothing can be erased without losing information in these continuous eight tracks of an electroencephalogram. The data change from background activity to a series of polyspike bursts. Note the scale in the bottom block, lower right:




Kenneth A. Kooi, *Fundamentals of Electroencephalography* (New York, 1971).
p. 110.

Most of the ink in this graphic is data-ink (the dots and labels on the diagonal), with perhaps 10–20 percent non-data-ink (the grid ticks and the frame):




John Tyler Bonner, *Size and Cycle: An Essay on the Structure & Biology* (Princeton, 1965), p. 17.

In this display with nearly all its ink devoted to matters other than data, the grid sea overwhelms the numbers (the faint points scattered about the diagonal):




Another published version of the same data drove the share of data-ink up to about 0.7, an improvement:



Relationship of Actual Rates of Registration to Predicted Rates (104 cities 1960)

But a third reprint publication of the same figure forgot to plot the points and simply retraced the grid lines from the original, including the excess strip of grid along the top and right margins. The resulting figure achieves a graphical absolute zero, a null data-ink ratio:

Figure 19.1 Relationship of Actual Rates of Registration to Predicted Rates (104 cities, 1960)



The three graphics were published in, respectively, Stanley Kelley, Jr., Richard E. Ayres, and William G. Bowen, "Registration and Voting: Putting First Things First," *American Political Science Review*, 61 (1967), 371; then reprinted in Edward R. Tufte, ed., *The Quantitative Analysis of Social Problems* (Reading, Mass., 1970), p. 267; and reprinted again in William J. Cratty, ed., *Public Opinion and Politics: A Reader* (New York, 1970), p. 364.

Maximizing the Share of Data-Ink

The larger the share of a graphic's ink devoted to data, the better (other relevant matters being equal):

Maximize the data-ink ratio, within reason.

Every bit of ink on a graphic requires a reason. And nearly always that reason should be that the ink presents new information.

The principle has a great many consequences for graphical editing and design. The principle makes good sense and generates reasonable graphical advice—for perhaps two-thirds of all statistical graphics. For the others, the ratio is ill-defined or is just not appropriate. Most important, however, is that other principles bearing on graphical design follow from the idea of maximizing the share of data-ink.


Two Erasing Principles

The other side of increasing the proportion of data-ink is an erasing principle:

Erase non-data-ink, within reason.


Ink that fails to depict statistical information does not have much interest to the viewer of a graphic; in fact, sometimes such non-data-ink clutters up the data, as in the case of a thick mesh of grid lines. While it is true that this boring ink sometimes helps set the stage for the data action, it is surprising, as we shall see in Chapter 7, how often the data themselves can serve as their own stage.

Redundant data-ink depicts the same number over and over. The labeled, shaded bar of the bar chart, for example,




unambiguously locates the altitude in six separate ways (any five of the six can be erased and the sixth will still indicate the height): as the (1) height of the left line, (2) height of shading, (3) height of right line, (4) position of top horizontal line, (5) position (not content) of number at bar's top, and (6) the number itself. That is

more ways than are needed. Gratuitous decoration and reinforcement of the data measures generate much redundant data-ink:



Bilateral symmetry of data measures also creates redundancy, as in the box plot, the open bar, and Chernoff faces:




Half-faces carry the same information as full faces. Halves may be easier to sort (by matching the right half of an unsorted face to the left half of a sorted face) than full faces. Or else an asymmetrical full face can be used to report additional variables.¹

Bilateral symmetry doubles the space consumed by the design in a graphic, without adding new information. The few studies done on the perception of symmetrical designs indicate that "when looking at a vase, for instance, a subject would examine one of its symmetric halves, glance at the other half and, seeing that it was identical, cease his explorations. . . . The enjoyment of symmetry . . . lies not with the physical properties of the figure. At least eye movements suggest anything but symmetry, balance, or rest."²


¹Bernhard Flury and Hans Riedwyl, "Graphical Representation of Multivariate Data by Means of Asymmetrical Faces," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 76 (December 1981), 757-765.

²Leonard Zusne, *Visual Perception of Form* (New York, 1970), pp. 256-257.

Redundancy, upon occasion, has its uses: giving a context and order to complexity, facilitating comparisons over various parts of the data, perhaps creating an aesthetic balance. In cyclical time-series, for example, parts of the cycle should be repeated so that the eye can track any part of the cycle without having to jump back to the beginning. Such redundancy possibly improves Marey's 1880 train schedule. Those people leaving Paris or Lyon in the evening find that their trains run off the right-hand edge of the chart, to be picked up on the left again:





Attaching an extra half cycle makes every train in the first 24 hours of the schedule a continuous line (as would mounting the original on a cylinder):



And, similarly, instead of once around the world in this display of surface ocean currents, one and two-thirds times around is better:

Kirk Bryan and Michael D. Cox, "The Circulation of the World Ocean: A Numerical Study. Part 1, A Homogeneous Model," *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 2 (1972), 330.




Most data representations, however, are of a single, uncomplicated number, and little graphical repetition is needed. Unless redundancy has a distinctly worthy purpose, the second erasing principle applies:

Erase redundant data-ink, within reason.

Application of the Principles in Editing and Redesign

Just as a good editor of prose ruthlessly prunes out unnecessary words, so a designer of statistical graphics should prune out ink that fails to present fresh data-information. Although nothing can replace a good graphical idea applied to an interesting set of numbers, editing and revision are as essential to sound graphical design work as they are to writing. T. S. Eliot emphasized the "capital importance of criticism in the work of creation itself. Probably, indeed, the larger part of the labour of an author in composing his work is critical labour; the labour of sifting, combining, constructing, expunging, correcting, testing: this frightful toil is as much critical as creative."³


Consider this display, which compares each long bar with the adjacent short bar to show the viewer that, under the various experimental conditions, the long bar is longer:




³T. S. Eliot, "The Function of Criticism," in *Selected Essays 1917-1932* (New York, 1932), p. 18.

James T. Kuznicki and N. Bruce McCutcheon, "Cross-Enhancement of the Sour Taste on Single Human Taste Papillae," *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 108 (1979), 76.


Vigorous pruning improves the graphic immensely, while still retaining all the data of the original. It is remarkable that erasing alone can work such a transformation:




The horizontals indicate the paired comparisons and would change if the experimental design changed—so they count as information-carrying. All the asterisks are out since every paired comparison was statistically significant, a point that the caption can note. Here is the mix of non-data-ink and redundant data-ink that was erased, about 65 percent of the original:



The data graphical arithmetic looks like this—the original design equals the erased part plus the good part:




The next graphic, drawn by the distinguished science illustrator Roger Hayward, shows the periodicity of properties of chemical elements, exemplified by atomic volume as a function of atomic number. The data-ink ratio is less than 0.6, lowered because the 76 data points and the reference curves are obscured by the 63 dark grid marks arrayed over the data plane like a precision marching band of 63 mosquitoes:




Linus Pauling, *General Chemistry* (San Francisco, 1947), p. 64.


The grid ticks compete with the essential information of the graphic, the curves tracing out the periods and the empirical observations. The little grid marks and part of the frame can be safely erased, removed from the denominator of the data-ink ratio:




The uncluttered display brings out another aspect of the data: several of the elements do not fit the smooth theoretical curves all that well. The data-ink ratio has increased to about .9, with only the frame lines remaining as pure non-information:




The reference curves prove essential for organizing the data to show the periodicity. The curves create a structure, giving an ordering, a hierarchy, to the flow of information from the page:



Restoring the grid fails to organize the data. The ticks are too powerful, and they also add a disconcerting visual vibration to the graphic. With the ticks, the reference curves become all the more necessary, since the eye needs some guidance through the maze of dots and crosses:



The space opened up by erasing can be effectively used. Labels for the initial elements of each period, an alkali, show the beginning of each cycle in the periodic table of elements—and in the graphic. The unusual rare-earths are indicated. In addition, the label and numbers on the vertical axis are turned to read from left to right rather than bottom to top, making the graphic slightly more accessible, a little more friendly:



Conclusion

Five principles in the theory of data graphics produce substantial changes in graphical design. The principles apply to many graphics and yield a series of design options through cycles of graphical revision and editing.

Above all else show the data.

Maximize the data-ink ratio.

Erase non-data-ink.

Erase redundant data-ink.

Revise and edit.

*With savage pictures fill their gaps
And o'er unhabitable downs
Place elephants for want of towns.*

Jonathan Swift's indictment of 17th-century cartographers